

CURRICULUM 2017-2020

Discipline & Activities	First year First semester		Type of assessment	ECTS
	Course hours	Seminar hours		
<i>Advanced training program</i>				
Management and biological research ethics	14	28	E	10
Designing and writing a scientific paper	14	28	E	10
Statistical and bioinformatic processing of experimental data	28	28	E	10
Total hours and ECTS	56	84		30
Optional Discipline - Academic Deontology	14		V	3

<i>Scientific research program</i>	First year	Second year		Third year		Type of assessment	ECTS	
	Sem. II	Sem. III	Sem. IV	Sem. V	Sem. VI			
Specific Training Module I - Scientific report ¹ (theoretical knowledge)						V	10	
Specific Training Module II - Scientific report ¹ (theoretical knowledge and experimental data)						V	10	
Scientific communication ²						V	10	
Research report 1 ³						V	30	
Research report 2 ³						V	30	
Research report 3 ³						V	30	
Research report 4 ³						V	30	
Total ECTS								150

ECTS=European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System E=Exam; V= verification; Sem= semester

¹Reports assessed by the PhD supervisor

²The *Student Scientific Session* is a student event (including PhD students) organized each year (at the end of first semester) by Faculty of Biology in order to promote student research results

³Reports assessed by the PhD supervisor and supervisory committee

SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH PROGRAM

- The scientific research program is organized individually for each Ph.D. student by the Ph.D. supervisor, with the doctoral student.
- The Ph.D. student's research activity is evaluated by 4 research reports presented to the Ph.D. supervisor and supervisory committee, as follows:
 - 2 reports in the second year of doctoral studies which will include interim research results.
 - 2 reports in the third year of doctoral studies, which will include results of research.
- The deadlines for presenting the research reports are provided in the individual scientific research plan. The deadlines for presenting of the reports can be extended on request by the Ph.D. student, with the endorsement of the Ph.D. supervisor.
- Presentations of reports are evaluated using a rating: “*Very Good*”, “*Good*”, “*Satisfactory*” or “*Unsatisfactory*”, by a committee consisting of the Ph.D. supervisor and supervisory committee.
- In case of rejection of a research report (which was rated “unsatisfactory”), the Ph.D. student will present it again in front of same committee.
- For presentation of each research report, Ph.D. student submit a written request to the Secretariat, 10 days before presentation ([model of written request](#))